WORKING PAPER

Intensity of Artificial Intelligence Use and the Intensive Margin of Exports: Evidence from Firms in 12 Euro Area Countries in 2025

by

Joachim Wagner

University of Lüneburg
Working Paper Series in Economics

No. 445

September 2026

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html

ISSN 1860 - 5508

Intensity of Artificial Intelligence Use and the Intensive Margin of Exports: Evidence from Firms in 12 Euro Area Countries in 2025 *

Joachim Wagner

Leuphana University Lueneburg, and Kiel Centre for Globalization

[This version: September 11, 2026]

Abstract

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) goes hand in hand with higher productivity, higher product quality, and lower trade costs. Therefore, it can be expected to be positively related to export activities. This paper uses firm level data from 12 member countries of the Euro Area collected in 2025 to shed further light on this issue by investigating the link between the intensity of use of AI and the intensive margins of exports measured as the percentage share of exports in total sales. Applying a new machine-learning estimator, Kernel-Regularized Least Squares (KRLS), which does not impose any restrictive assumptions for the functional form of the relation between margin of exports, the intensity of use of AI and any control variables, we find that firms which use AI more intensively do export a higher share of total sales. AI intensity and export intensity are positively related.

JEL classification: D22, F14

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, exports, firm level data, SAFE Data, kernel-regularized least squares (KRLS)

* The firm level data used in this study are taken from the *Survey on the access to finance of enterprises,* 2025 Q4 (SAFE Data) by the European Commission and European Central Bank. Link to publicic use data: https://www.ecb.europa.eu/stats/ecb_surveys/safe/html/data.en.html . Stata code used to generate the empirical results reported in this note is available from the author.

Professor Dr. Joachim Wagner
Leuphana University Lueneburg
D-21314 Lüneburg
Germany
e-mail: joachim.wagner@leuphana.de

1. Motivation

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) can be expected to go hand in hand with higher productivity (see e.g. Acemoglu, Lelarge and Restrepo (2020), Chen and Volpe Martincus (2022), DeStefano, Kneller and Timmis (2025), Deng, Plümpe and Stegmaier (2024)). According to a large empirical literature that uses firm level data from many different countries productivity and export activities in firms are positively related (Ferencz, López González and Garcia (2022), Wagner (2007)). Furthermore, the use of AI can be expected to lower trade costs (see e.g. Ferencz, López González and Garcia (2022), López González, Sorescu and Kaynak (2023), Meltzer (2018)). Therefore, its use can be expected to be positively related to export activities of firms that use these technologies.

Empirical evidence on the link between the use of digital technologies and export activities of firms is supporting this view. Wagner (2026a) uses firm level data for manufacturing enterprises from the 27 member countries of the European Union from the Flash Eurobarometer 559 collected in 2025 to investigate the link between the use of digital technologies and extensive margins of exports. He finds that firms which use more digital technologies do more often export and do export to more different destinations.

While this points to a positive link between the use of AI and extensive margins of exports comparable evidence for the relation between AI use and the intensive margin of exports – the share of exports in total sales of a firm – is lacking. This paper contributes to the literature by using firm level data from 12 member countries of the Euro Area collected in 2025 in the *Survey on the access to finance of enterprises*, 2025 Q4 (SAFE Data) by the European Commission and European Central Bank to investigate

the link between the intensity of use of AI in a firm and the share of exports in its total sales.

Applying a new machine-learning estimator, Kernel-Regularized Least Squares (KRLS), which does not impose any restrictive assumptions for the functional form of the relation between export intensity, intensity of use of AI, and any control variables, we find that firms which use AI more intensively do export a larger share of their total sales.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the data used. Section 3 reports results from the econometric investigation. Section 4 concludes.

2. Data and discussion of variables

The firm level data used in this study are taken from the *Survey on the access to finance of enterprises*, 2025 Q4 (SAFE Data) by the European Commission and European Central Bank. The public use data used cover information on 4,324 firms from 12 Euro Area countries with 1 to 249 employees. Firms are active in industry, construction, trade and services. The countries covered and the numbers of firms by country are reported in the appendix table. A comprehensive descriptive analysis of the results from the survey can be found in Ferrando et al. (2026). Note that the paper by Ferrando et al. (2026) does not cover any discussion of the export activities of firms.

In the survey firms were asked in question D7 “What percentage of your company’s total turnover in 2024 is accounted for by exports of goods or services?”. This variable is the information on the intensive margin of exports used in this paper. Table 1 reports the share of exports in total sales by broad categories; a table with detailed results by percentage is available on request. More than half of all firms in the

sample did not export at all, and about one fifth of the firms did only export 1 to 10 percent.

[Table 1 near here]

In question QA1_2025Q4 firms were asked about the use of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies (including predictive tools such as text mining, voice and image recognition, machine learning and generative tools like chatbots and text/image generation, or robotic process automation). Table 2 reports the answers by the four intensity categories used in the survey. While about one third of all firms in the sample do not currently use AI technologies and 30 percent use it very infrequently or experimentally only, the share of firms that report a moderate use is about one quarter and firms with a significant use are rare with about 7 percent. The intensity of AI use is measured in this study by an index from 1 to 4 according to the four categories mentioned.

[Table 2 near here]

In the empirical investigation of the link between the intensity of AI use in firms and the intensive margin of exports we control for three firm characteristics that are known to be linked with exports: Firm size (measured in three broad categories; see Table 3), sector (see Table 4) and firm age (measured in years by four broad categories; see Table 5).[1]

[Tables 3 -5 near here]

Furthermore, in the empirical investigation the country of origin of the firms is controlled for by including a full set of country dummy variables.

[1] Given that these variables are included as control variables only, we do not discuss them in detail here. Suffice it to say that numerous empirical studies show a link between these firm characteristics and export performance.

3. Artificial intelligence use and intensive margin of exports

To investigate the link between the intensity of artificial intelligence use and the intensive margin of exports in the firms we use an empirical model

[1] $\text{Export intensity}_i = f\,[\,\text{Intensity of AI use}_i\,,\ \text{Control}_i\,]$

where i is the index of the firm, Export intensity is the share of exports in total sales (in percentage), Intensity of AI use is the value of the index defined in Table 2, and Control is a vector of control variables that consists of measures of firm size and firm age, dummy variables for sectors, and dummy variables for countries (see Table 3 to Table 5 and the appendix table).

In standard parametric models the firm characteristics that explain the export margin enter the empirical model in linear form. This functional form which is used in hundreds of empirical studies for margins of exports, however, is rather restrictive. If any non-linear relationships (like quadratic terms or higher order polynomials, or interaction terms) do matter and if they are ignored in the specification of the empirical model this leads to biased results. Researchers, however, can never be sure that all possible relevant non-linear relationships are taken care of in their chosen specifications. Therefore, this note uses the Kernel-Regularized Least Squares (KRLS) estimator to deal with this issue. KRLS is a machine learning method that learns the functional form from the data. It has been introduced in Hainmueller and Hazlett (2014) and Ferwerda, Hainmueller and Hazlett (2017), and used to estimate empirical models for margins of trade for the first time in Wagner (2026b).

While a comprehensive discussion of the Kernel-Regularized Least Squares (KRLS) estimator is far beyond the scope of this applied note, a short outline of some

of the important features and characteristics might help to understand why this estimator can be considered as an extremely helpful addition to the box of tools of empirical trade economists (se Wagner (2026b)). For any details the reader is referred to the original papers by Hainmueller and Hazlett (2014) and Fernwerda, Hainmueller and Hazlett (2017).

The main contribution of the KRLS estimator is that it allows the researcher to estimate regression-type models without making any assumption regarding the functional form (or doing a specification search to find the best fitting functional form). As detailed in Hainmueller and Hazlett (2014) the method constructs a flexible hypothesis space using kernels as radial basis functions and then finds the best-fitting surface in this space by minimizing a complexity-penalized least squares problem. Ferwerda, Hainmueller and Hazlett (2017) point out that the KRLS method can be thought of in the “similarity-based view” in two stages. In the first stage, it fits functions using kernels, based on the assumption that there is useful information embedded in how similar a given observation is to other observations in the dataset. In the second stage, it utilizes regularization, which gives preference to simpler functions (see Ferwerda, Hainmueller and Hazlett (2017), p.3).

KRLS is easy to apply in Stata using the `krls` program provided in Ferwerda, Hainmueller and Hazlett (2017). Instead of doing a tedious specification search that does not guarantee a successful result, users simply pass the outcome variable and the matrix of covariates to the KRLS estimator which then learns the target function from the data. As shown in Hainmueller and Hazlett (2014), the KRLS estimator has desirable statistical properties, including unbiasedness, consistency, and asymptotic normality under mild regularity conditions. An additional advantage of KRLS is that it provides closed-form estimates of the pointwise derivatives that characterize the

marginal effect of each covariate at each data point in the covariate space (see Ferwerda, Hainmueller and Hazlett (2017), p. 11).

Therefore, KRLS is suitable to estimate empirical models when the correct functional form is not known for sure – which is usually the case because we do not know which polynomials or interaction terms matter for correctly modelling the relation between the covariates and the outcome variable.

Results for an application of KRLS to the empirical model for the intensive margin of exports are reported in Table 6.[2]

[Table 6 near here]

The big picture that is shown is crystal clear. Higher values of the index of AI use intensity go hand in hand with higher export intensity both on average and for all percentiles of the marginal effect. The estimated average marginal effect is statistically highly significant ceteris paribus after controlling for firm age, firm size, sector, and country of origin of the firms.

4. Concluding remarks

This study finds that firms from 12 euro area countries that use AI technologies more intensively in 2025 export a larger share of their total sales. This complements the findings on a positive link between the intensity of use of advanced technologies and the extensive margins of exports for manufacturing firms from 27 EU member countries reported in Wagner (2026a).

Does this study imply that to be successful in export markets, firms should use AI technologies? Or that using AI chnologies will help the firms to be successful as an

[2] A table with the complete results for all control variables is available from the author on request.

exporter? This is an open question (that is asked the same way when the exporter productivity premium is discussed; see Wagner (2007)) because we do not know whether this result is due to self-selection of exporting firms into the more intensive use of AI technologies, or whether it is the effect of using AI technologies more intensively.

This issue cannot be investigated with the cross-section data at hand. To answer this important question longitudinal data for firms are needed that cover several years and that include a sufficiently large number of firms that switch the intensity of the use of AI over time. The jury is still out to find a generally accepted answer.

References

Acemoglu, Daron, Claire Lelarge and Pascual Restrepo (2020). Competing with Robots: Firm-Level Evidence from France. *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings* 110, 383-388.

Chen, Maggie and Christian Volpe Martincus (2022). Digital Technologies and Globalization: A Survey of Research and Policy Applications. *IDB Inter-American Development Bank Discussion Paper No. IDB-DP-00933.*

Deng, Liucun, Verena Plümpe and Jens Stegmaier (2024). Robot Adoption at German Plants. *Journal of Economics and Statistics* 244 (3), 201-235.

DeStefano, Timothy, Richard Kneller and Jonathan Timmis (2025). Cloud Computing and Firm Growth. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 107 (6), 1538-1651.

Ferencz, Janos, Javier López González and Irene Oliván García (2022). Artificial Intelligence and International Trade: Some Preliminary Implications. *OECD Trade Policy Paper* 260.

Ferrando, Annalisa, Sara Lamboglia, Judit Rariga and Maurice Schmidt (2026). Adoption and investment in AI across the euro area. Insights from harmonised firm-level data. *European Central Bank Occasional Paper Series No 395.*

Ferwerda, Jeremy, Jens Hainmueller and Chad J. Hazlett (2017). Kernel-Based Regularized Least Squares in R (KRLS) and Stata (krls). *Journal of Statistical Software* 79 (3), 1-26.

Hainmueller, Jens and Cgad Hazlett (2014). Kernel Regularized Least Squares: Reducing Misspecification Bias with a Flexible and Interpretable Macine Learning Approach. *Political Analysis* 22, 143-168.

López González, Javier, Silvia Sorescu and Pinar Kaynak (2023). Of Bytes and Trade: Quantifying the Impact of Digitalization on Trade. *OECD Trade Policy Paper* 273.

Meltzer, Jshua P. (2018). The impact of artificial intelligence on international trade. *Center for Technology Innovation at Brookings.*

Wagner, Joachim (2007). Exports and Productivity: A survey of the evidence from firm level data. *The World Economy* 30 (1), 5-32.

Wagner, Joachim (2026a). Use of Advance Technologies and Extensive Margins of Exports in Manufacturing Firms from 27 EU Countries in 2025. *Economics Bulletin* (n press).

Wagner, Joachim (2026b). A note on estimation of empirical models for margins of exports with unknown non-linear functional forms: A Kernel-Regularized Least Squares (KRLS) approach. *Journal of Economics and Statistics* 246 (3), 219-228.

Table 1: Share of exports in total sales (broad categories)

Share of exports percentage)	Share of firms in sample (percent)
No exports	53.5
1 to 10	19.5
11 to 50	14.0
51 to 100	13.0

Note: Detailed results by percentage share are available on request

Table 2: Intensity of AI use in firms

Category	Share of firms in sample (percent)
Not currently in use	35.57
Very infrequent use or use in pilot projects/ experimentally	30.34
Moderate use	26.78
Significant use	7.31

Note: Coded as index with values from 1 to 4

Table 3: Firm size (broad categories)

Category	Share of firms in sample (percent)
1 to 9 employees	42.21
10 to 49 employees	33.44
50 to 249 employees	24.35

Note: Coded as index with values from 1 to 3

Table 4: Sector

Sector	Share of firms in sample (percent)
Industry	24,21
Construction	13.92
Trade	22,22
Services	39.64

Table 5: Firm age (broad categories)

Category	Share of firms in sample (percent)
Less than 2 years	0.83
2 years or more, but less than 5 years	1.73
5 years or more, but less than 10 years	6.45
10 years or more	90.98

Note: Coded as index with values from 1 to 4

Table 6: Empirical results for the intensive margins of exports

Method	KRLS Average marginal effect	P25	P50	P75
Intensity of AI use (Index, 1 to 4)	1.580 (0.000)	0.029	1.715	3-011
Control variables	included			
Country dummies	included			
Number of cases	4,324			

Note: KRLS reports average marginal effects and marginal effects at the 25th, 50th and 75th percentile estimated by kernel-based regularized least squares. P-values are reported in parentheses. For details, see text. A table with complete results for all control variables is available from the author on request.

Appendix: Number of firms in the sample by country

Country	Number of firms	Share (percent)
Austria	297	6.87
Belgium	231	5.34
Finland	172	3.98
France	542	12.53
Germany	450	10.41
Greece	302	6.98
Ireland	225	5.20
Italy	643	14.87
Netherlands	358	8.28
Portugal	298	6.89
Slovakia	201	4.65
Spain	605	13.99
All countries	4,324	

Working Paper Series in Economics

(recent issues)

No. 444 *Mats Petter Kahl and Thomas Wein*: More Competition (Only) Through Greater Market Transparency? – Twelve Years of the Fuel Market Transparency Agency in Germany, September 2026

No. 443 *Thomas Wein:* Has the Introduction of the Rental Price Brake in Germany increased Immobilienscout's market power?, August 2026

No. 442 *Joachim Wagner:* A Note on Churning of Exporters and Dynamics of Exports: Evidence from Panel Data for 69 Countries, February 2026

No. 441 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre:* Forschungsbericht 2025, January 2026

No. 440 *Joachim Wagner:* Cloud Computing and Extensive Margins of Exports – An Update using data for 2025, January 2026

No. 439 *Joachim Wagner:* Who uses Advanced Technologies? Evidence from Manufacturing Firms from 38 Countries in 2025, January 2026

No. 438 *Joachim Wagner:* Use of Advanced Technologies and Extensive Margins of Exports in Manufacturing Firms from 27 EU Countries in 2025 , January 2026

No. 437 *Joachim Wagner:* Ten Years Data Observer – A service for empirical researchers in economics , October 2025

No. 436 *Joachim Wagner:* nep-iaf A new e-mail service to stay up to date with working papers on international activities of firms, October 2025

No. 435 *Christoph Kleineberg:* The Effect of Price Transparency: Assessment of the German Highway Retail Gasoline Sector, July 2025

No. 434 *Joachim Wagner:* Firm characteristics and survival in times of COVID 19: First evidence from Kernel Regularized Least Squares regressions, July 2025

No. 433 *Joachim Wagner:* Firm characteristics of two-way traders: Evidence from Probit vs. Kernel-Regularized Least Squares regressions, May 2025

No. 432 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre:* Forschungsbericht 2024, January 2025

No. 431 *Thomas Wein:* Kampf an falschen Fronten - Verbrenner-Aus, Gebäudeenergiegesetz (GEG) oder Brennstoffemissionshandelsgesetz (BEHG)?, December 2024

No. 430 *Mats Petter Kahl und Thomas Wein:* Existiert Wettbewerb beim Laden von Elektrofahrzeugen in Deutschland?, October 2024

No. 429 *Joachim Wagner:* Data Observer – A guide to data that can help to inform evidence-based policymaking, April 2024

No. 428 *Joachim Wagner:* Digitalization Intensity and Extensive Margins of Exports in Manufacturing Firms from 27 EU Countries - Evidence from Kernel-Regularized Least Squares Regression , April 2024

No. 427 *Joachim Wagner:* Cloud Computing and Extensive Margins of Exports -Evidence for Manufacturing Firms from 27 EU Countries, February 2024

No. 426 *Joachim Wagner*: Robots and Extensive Margins of Exports - Evidence for Manufacturing Firms from 27 EU Countries, January 2024

No. 425 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre:* Forschungsbericht 2023, January 2024

No. 424 *Joachim Wagner*: Estimation of empirical models for margins of exports with unknown non-linear functional forms: A Kernel-Regularized Least Squares (KRLS) approach, January 2024

No. 423 *Luise Goerges, Tom Lane, Daniele Nosenzo and Silvia Sonderegger*: Equal before the (expressive power of) law?, November 2023

No. 422 *Joachim Wagner*: Exports and firm survival in times of COVID-19 – Evidence from eight European countries, October 2023

No. 421 *Joachim Wagner*: Big Data Analytics and Exports – Evidence for Manufacturing Firms from 27 EU Countries, September 2023

No. 420 *Christian Pfeifer*: Can worker codetermination stabilize democracies? Works councils and satisfaction with democracy in Germany, May 2023

No. 419 *Mats Petter Kahl*: Was the German fuel discount passed on to consumers?, March 2023

No. 418 *Nils Braakmann & Boris Hirsch:* Unions as insurence: Employer–worker risk sharing and workers‘ outcomes during COVID-19, January 2023

No. 417 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre:* Forschungsbericht 2022, January 2023

No. 416 *Philipp Lentge*: Second job holding in Germany – a persistent feature?, November 2022

No. 415 *Joachim Wagner*: Online Channels Sales Premia in Times of COVID-19: First Evidence from Germany, November 2022

No. 414 *Boris Hirsch, Elke J. Jahn, Alan Manning, and Michael Oberfichtner*: The wage elasticity of recruitment, October 2022

No. 413 *Lukas Tohoff and Mario Mechtel*: Fading Shooting Stars – The Relative Age Effect, Misallocation of Talent, and Returns to Training in German Elite Youth Soccer, September 2022

No. 412 *Joachim Wagner*: The first 50 contributions to the Data Observer Series – An overview, May 2022

No. 411 *Mats Petter Kahl and Thomas Wein*: How to Reach the Land of Cockaigne? Edgeworth Cycle Theory and Why a Gasoline Station is the First to Raise Its Price, April 2022

No. 410 *Joachim Wagner:* Website premia for extensive margins of international firm activities Evidence for SMEs from 34 countries; April 2022

No. 409 *Joachim Wagner:* Firm survival and gender of firm owner in times of COVID-19 Evidence from 10 European countries, March 2022

No. 408 *Boris Hirsch, Philipp Lentge and Claus Schnabel*: Uncovered workers in plants covered by collective bargaining: Who are they and how do they fare?, February 2022

No. 407 *Lena Dräger, Michael J. Lamla and Damjan Pfajfar:* How to limit the Spillover from the 2021 Inflation Surge to Inflation Expectations?, February 2022

No. 406 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre:* Forschungsbericht 2021, January 2022

No. 405 *Leif Jacobs, Lara Quack and Mario Mechtel:* Distributional Effects of Carbon Pricing by Transport Fuel Taxation, December 2021

No. 404 *Boris Hirsch and Philipp Lentge:* Non-Base Compensation and the Gender Pay Gap, July 2021

No. 403 *Michael J. Lamla and Dmitri V. Vinogradov:* Is the Word of a Gentleman as Good as His Tweet? Policy communications of the Bank of England, May 2021

No. 402 *Lena Dräger, Michael J. Lamla and Damjan Pfajfar:* The Hidden Heterogeneity of Inflation and Interest Rate Expectations: The Role of Preferences, May 2021

No. 401 *Joachim Wagner:* The Good have a Website Evidence on website premia for firms from 18 European countries, April 2021

No. 400 *Luise Görges:* Of housewives and feminists: Gender norms and intra-household division of labour, April 2021

No. 399 *Joachim Wagner:* With a little help from my website. Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 – Evidence from 10 European countries, April 2021

No. 398 *Katja Seidel:* The transition from School to Post-Secondary Education – What factors affect educational decisions?, March 2021

No. 397 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre:* Forschungsbericht 2020, Januar 2021

No. 396 *Sabien Dobbelaere, Boris Hirsch, Steffen Mueller and Georg Neuschaeffer:* Organised Labour, Labour Market Imperfections, and Employer Wage Premia, December 2020

No. 395 *Stjepan Srhoj, Vanja Vitezić and Joachim Wagner:* Export boosting policies and firm behaviour: Review of empirical evidence around the world, November 2020

No. 394 *Thomas Wein:* Why abandoning the paradise? Stations incentives to reduce gasoline prices at first, August 2020

No. 393 *Sarah Geschonke and Thomas Wein:* Privacy Paradox –Economic Uncertainty Theory and Legal Consequences, August 2020

No. 392 *Mats P. Kahl:* Impact of Cross-Border Competition on the German Retail Gasoline Market – German-Polish Border, July 2020

No. 391 *John P. Weche and Joachim Wagner:* Markups and Concentration in the Context of Digitization: Evidence from German Manufacturing Industries, July 2020

No. 390 *Thomas Wein:* Cartel behavior and efficient sanctioning by criminal sentences, July 2020

No. 389 *Christoph Kleineberg:* Market definition of the German retail gasoline industry on highways and those in the immediate vicinity, July 2020

No. 388 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre:* Forschungsbericht 2019, Januar 2020

No. 387 *Boris Hirsch, Elke J. Jahn, and Thomas Zwick:* Birds, Birds, Birds: Co-worker Similarity, Workplace Diversity, and Voluntary Turnover, May 2019

No. 386 *Joachim Wagner:* Transaction data for Germany's exports and imports of goods, May 2019

No. 385 *Joachim Wagner:* Export Scope and Characteristics of Destination Countries: Evidence from German Transaction Data, May 2019

(see www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html for a complete list)

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg
Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre
Postfach 2440
D-21314 Lüneburg
Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321
email: christina.korf@leuphana.de

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html